



## EDMONTON DESIGN COMMITTEE MINUTES

**Location: Boardroom 310, Edmonton Tower**

**Tuesday, July 3, 2018**

**MEMBERS:**

W. Sims, Chair  
M. Figueira, Vice-Chair  
A. Zepp, Vice-Chair  
T. Antoniuk  
D. Deshpande  
R. Labonte  
S. Kaznacheeva  
J. Mills  
C. Holmes  
B. Nolan  
D. Brown

**PRESENT:**

W. Sims, Chair  
M. Figueira, Vice-Chair  
A. Zepp, Vice-Chair  
T. Antoniuk  
  
R. Labonte  
S. Kaznacheeva  
J. Mills  
  
B. Nolan  
D. Brown

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:**

P. Spearey, City Planning  
S. Lee, City Planning

---

**A.1. CALL TO ORDER**

W. Sims called the meeting to order at 4:02 p.m.

**A.2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

Without objection, the July 3, 2018 Edmonton Design Committee agenda was adopted.

**A.3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

Without objection, the June 19, 2018 Edmonton Design Committee meeting minutes were adopted.

## **B. APPLICATIONS**

### **B.1. PROJECT SYNOPSES**

S.Lee provided comments on Mill Woods Transit Centre.  
J. Mills left the meeting due to a conflict.

P.Spearey provided comments on Fort Edmonton Park Expansion.  
A.Zepp and D.Brown left the meeting due to conflicts.

P.Spearey provided comments on the Stadium LRT Transit Station.

P.Spearey provided comments on Ferrier Garage.  
B.Nolan left the meeting due to a conflict.

### **FORMAL PRESENTATIONS (Open to the Public)**

- B.2. Fort Edmonton Park Expansion (Development Permit)**  
7000 143 Street NW  
Lisa Kellerman - Stantec

### **PRE-CONSULTATION PRESENTATIONS (Closed to the Public)**

- B.3. Stadium LRT Transit Station (Development Permit)**  
Tyson Bolduc - GEC Architecture

- B.4. Ferrier Garage (Rezoning)**  
8620 58 Avenue NW  
Russ Golightly - AECOM

- B.5. Mill Woods Transit Centre (Development Permit)**  
2331 66 Street NW  
Michael Rivest - Dialog Design

## **C. COMMITTEE DELIBERATION (Closed to the Public)**

- C.1. Fort Edmonton Park Expansion (Development Permit)**  
7000 143 Street NW  
Lisa Kellerman - Stantec

A.Zepp and D.Brown left the meeting due to conflicts.

MOVED: R. Labonte

Motion of Non-support

While the Committee appreciates the value of the educational program and the cultural significance that this project could potentially bring, the Committee has put forth a motion of non-support for the following reasons:

- The expression of building and its materiality does not meet the aspirational intent of the project and its community.
- Reconsideration is needed of how the expression of cultural iconography is incorporated into the design.
- Views / wayfinding (including architectural expression of entrances) to the building are compromised by elements along access routes.
- The conflict of uses at south access to the building, including parking area and drop off / loading areas.

SECONDED: M. Figueira

**CARRIED**  
**Motion was reconsidered,**  
**Item C.3, Page 4**

FOR THE MOTION: R. Labonte, T. Antoniuk, M.Figueira, J. Mills

AGAINST: S. Kaznacheeva, W. Sims, B. Nolan

C.2 Following this motion, the Chair reviewed information provided to the Committee by the Applicant during the presentation. This information included correspondence from the Metis Nation of Alberta and the Confederacy of Treaty Six First Nations. Based on this review the Committee discussed the opportunity to reconsider this motion.

MOVED: T. Antoniuk

THAT based on new information in the form of correspondence submitted by the Metis Nation of Alberta and the Confederacy of Treaty Six First Nations, the latter specifically referencing that “The Elders have advised on the building design principles and conceptual design process based on indigenous values”, that the Committee reconsider the motion (Item 3.1, Page 3)

SECONDED: J. Mills

**CARRIED**

FOR THE MOTION: T. Antoniuk,, J. Mills, S. Kaznacheeva, W. Sims, B. Nolan

AGAINST: R. Labonte, M. Figueira

C.3 MOVED: R. Labonte

Motion of Non-support

While the Committee appreciates the value of the educational program and the cultural significance that this project could potentially bring, the Committee has put forth a motion of non-support for the following reasons:

- The expression of the building and its materiality does not meet the aspirational opportunity of the project. In the opinion of the Committee the excessive use of EIFS and reflective glass undermines the significance of this project.
- Views / wayfinding (including architectural expression of entrances) to the building are compromised by elements along access routes.
- The conflict of uses at south access to the building, including parking area and drop off / loading areas.

SECONDED: M. Figueira

**DEFEATED**

FOR THE MOTION: R. Labonte, M.Figueira

AGAINST: T. Antoniuk, J. Mills, S. Kaznacheeva, W. Sims, B. Nolan

C.4 MOTION: M. Figueira

THAT Administration consult with the Office of the City Clerk to confirm that the decision making process followed by the Edmonton Design Committee during the review of the Fort Edmonton Park Expansion is valid.

SECONDED: R. Labonte

**CARRIED**

FOR THE MOTION: R. Labonte, M.Figueira, T. Antoniuk, J. Mills, S. Kaznacheeva, W. Sims, B. Nolan

C.5 MOTION: S. Kaznacheeva

Support with Conditions

The Committee appreciates the value of the educational program and the cultural significance that this project could potentially bring; however, the Committee has the following concerns and requires the Applicant to return as **an administrative walk-on**:

- The expression of building and its materiality does not meet the aspirational opportunity of the project. In the opinion of the Committee the excessive use of EIFS and reflective glass undermines the significance of this project.
- Views / wayfinding (including architectural expression of entrances) to the building are compromised by elements along access routes.
- The conflict of uses at south access to the building, including parking area and drop off / loading areas.

SECONDED: T. Antoniuk

**CARRIED**

FOR THE MOTION: R. Labonte, M.Figueira, T. Antoniuk, J. Mills, S. Kaznacheeva, W. Sims, B. Nolan

AGAINST M. Figueira

**D. ADDITIONAL ITEMS**

**D.1 EDC SCHEDULE**

The Committee discussed the upcoming schedule and in particular the time constraints of Metro LRT and Capital Line LRT South Extension. Administration is presently reviewing opportunities for an additional meeting and will contact the Committee in due course to confirm when an additional meeting can occur.

**E. UPCOMING APPLICATIONS, CONFLICTS AND REGRETS**

Regrets: D.Brown, W.Sims

Conflicts: D.Deshpande (9712 111 Street NW)  
J. Mills (The Keg Oliver West)

**D. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 10:43 p.m.

**F. NEXT MEETING**

Tuesday, July 17, 2018 at 4:00 p.m. located in Boardroom 320, 3rd Floor, Edmonton Tower (10111 - 104 Avenue NW).